



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,896	09/10/2003	Thomas W. Smith	79544	8996
23501 7590 09/10/2007 NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DAHLGREN DIVISION OFFICE OF COUNSEL, CODE XDC1 17632 DAHLGREN ROAD SUITE 121 DAHLGREN, VA 22448-5110			EXAMINER CHEA, PHILIP J	
			ART UNIT 2153	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/10/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/658,896

Applicant(s)

SMITH, THOMAS W.

Examiner

Philip J. Chea

Art Unit

2153

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 9/10/03 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to an Amendment filed June 29, 2007. Claims 1-20 are currently pending. Any rejection not set forth below has been overcome by the current Amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3,5-7,9-12,17,19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edelman et al. (US 7,240,077), herein referred to as Edelman.

As per claims 1,17, Edelman discloses a method including the limitations for a baseline web site that is primarily and ordinarily read-only, having a plurality of web pages being remotely accessible by authorized users through a network via client communication devices communicatively coupled to the network (see column 3, lines 15-31, where the baseline web site is considered the live web site that is currently published; furthermore, since the website is published, it is implied that the site is accessible by users through a network (e.g. the Internet) via devices such as a computer (see column 1, lines 11-33));

a mirror web site that is initially a mirror copy of the baseline web site (*see column 5, lines 17-40, where the mirror web site is considered the copy of the live (i.e. baseline) web site (see Fig. 5) that the user is able to edit and then publish to the live web site at a specific date and time, it is initially a mirror web site because it is a web site that is initially (i.e. before any edits) a copy of the baseline web site; see Fig. 5, where a user can edit a mirror copy of the live (i.e. baseline) web site and column 6, lines 8-12, describing how the facility provides a content change receiving tool/interface for the current release that is based on the state of the live web site*) and that is remotely accessible by the authorized users through the network via the client communication devices communicatively coupled to the network (see column 4,

Art Unit: 2153

lines 7-10, describing a remote access and column 4, lines 40-47, where only authorized users are able to make changes); and,

a web application running on the mirror web site to accept user-made changes to the mirror copy of the baseline web site (see column 5, lines 17-40, where tools (e.g. image manager tool, browser builder tool, site merchandiser tool) are provided to allow a user make changes to the mirror copy that will be published to the live (i.e. baseline) web site at a specific date and time), one or more administrators being able to authorize the user-made changes such that the baseline web site is periodically updated from the mirror web site (see column 6, lines 14-17, where the administrator can preview future releases and approve them).

Although the system disclosed by Edelman shows substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed above), it fails to disclose that the changes made to the mirror copy are made in a cut-and-paste manner. However, Edelman does disclose that a user can modify or delete text (see column 7, lines 5-12). At the time of the invention, a person having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to cut-and-paste text in order to quickly rearrange text and quickly modify text without having to type every single letter.

With respect to claims 2,20, Edelman further discloses wherein the web application is receptive to additional and replacement data serving as the user-made changes to the mirror copy of the baseline web site in the cut-and-paste manner (see column 7, lines 5-12, and discussion above regarding the obvious use of cut-and-paste). Edelman does not expressly disclose that the cut-and-paste is from other applications running on the client communication devices. However, at the time of the invention, a person having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to cut text from an application such as a word processor and paste the text into the mirror web site, in order to spell check the text before entering it.

With respect to claim 3, Edelman teach a method including the limitation for wherein the other applications running on the client communication devices comprise at least one of: word processing application programs, spreadsheet application programs, email communication application programs, graphics application programs, scheduling application programs, presentation application programs,

Art Unit: 2153

streamlining video and/or clip application programs, and web browsing application programs (see column 4, lines 7-10, where it's implied a web browser is used to access a web site hosting facility, and it would further be obvious, given the discussion above to copy-and-paste text so that a person would not have to type every single letter if there is already text written for them to copy).

With respect to claim 5, Edelman teach a method including the limitation for wherein the mirror web site have a home web page that is regulated by a secure network connection through the network (see column 4, lines 40-47) and from which other web pages on the web site are accessible via hyperlinks (see column 9, lines 46-49). Edelman does not expressly disclose that the baseline web site is regulated by a secure network connection through the network. However, Edelman shows that the baseline web site can be a commerce web site (see column 1, lines 20-33). At the time of the invention, a person having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide a secure network connection in order to prevent hackers from stealing sensitive information such as credit card numbers and home addresses.

With respect to claim 6, Edelman teach a method including the limitation for wherein the baseline web site and the mirror web site each have a home web page that is regulated by a secure network connection through the network (see Fig. 5, where a user can log into edit mode from the homepage) and from which other web pages on the web site are accessible via hyperlinks (see column 9, lines 46-49).

With respect to claim 7, Edelman teach a method including the limitation for wherein the baseline web site further has a plurality of second web pages to serve as electronic notebooks containing information related to and supporting the plurality of web pages (see column 4, lines 40-47, where different vendors can be in charge of their own web page (i.e. electronic notebook)) and different of the plurality of second web pages accessible by different of the authorized users via different levels of access accorded to different of the authorized users (see column 4, lines 40-47).

With respect to claim 9, Edelman teach a method including the limitation for providing at least one server to host at least one of the baseline web site and the mirror web site and each server communicatively coupled to the network (see column 4, lines 7-11).

Art Unit: 2153

With respect to claim 10, Edelman teach a method including the limitation further comprising at least some of the client communication devices (see column 6, line 60 – column 7, line 4).

With respect to claim 11, Edelman teach a method including the limitation wherein the client communication devices comprise at least one of: a computing device, a desktop computing device, a laptop computing device, a handheld computing device, a mobile phone, and a special-purpose device (see column 6, line 60 – column 7, line 4).

With respect to claim 12, Edelman teach a method including the limitation wherein the network comprises one or more of: the Internet, an intranet, an extranet, a virtual private network (VPN), a local-area network (LAN), a wide-area network (WAN), a wired network, and a wireless network (see column 4, lines 9-11).

With respect to claim 19, Edelman teach a method including the limitation wherein accessing by the authorized user of the baseline web site comprises remotely accessing the baseline web site through a network via a client communication device communicatively coupled to the network (see column 6, line 64 – column 7, line 2).

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edelman as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Gordon et al. (US 2002/0064352).

Edelman does not expressly disclose wherein the additional and replacement data comprises scanned-in information from non-electronic, hardcopy media.

The general concept of using the additional and replacement data comprises scanned-in information from non-electronic, hardcopy media is well known in the art as illustrated by Gordon et al, which teaches a method including the limitation for wherein the additional and replacement data comprises scanned-in information from non-electronic, hardcopy media (see e.g. [0018], which implies this limitation because a scanner is used to input score information into the database containing all league information, which may be published on a web site, as shown in sec. [0026]).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Edelman to include the use of using the additional and replacement data comprises scanned-in

Art Unit: 2153

information from non-electronic, hardcopy media in order to maximize efficiency of updating content to be displayed on a published web site, as implied in sec. [0015] of Gordon et al.

4. Claims 8,13-14,16,18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edelman as applied to claims 1 and 17 above, and further in view of Shuping et al. (US 6,313,855).

As per claim 8, Edelman, as discussed above discloses many of the claimed features. However, Edelman does not expressly disclose providing a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple user-viewable displays for mounting on the walls of the room.

The general concepts of a limitation for providing a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple user-viewable displays for mounting on the walls of the room is well known in the art as illustrated by Shuping et al, which teaches a method including the limitation for providing a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple user-viewable displays for mounting on the walls of the room (see spec, sec. 2, lines 44-58, which implies this limitation because a room is implemented for a number of panel displays to display the updated web info).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Edelman to include the use of a limitation for providing a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple user-viewable displays for mounting on the walls of the room in order to improve upon updating pages on a web site, as implied in sec. 2, lines 10-34 of Shuping et al.

In reference to claim 13, although the system of Edelman teaches substantial claimed features (see discussion above) it does not expressly disclose **the baseline web site is for primary display on a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple-user-viewable displays for mounting on walls of a room, the baseline web site and the mirror web site locally accessible within the room.**

The general concepts of a limitation wherein **the baseline web site is for primary display on a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple-user-viewable displays for mounting on walls of a room, the baseline web site and the mirror web site locally accessible within the room** is well known in the art as illustrated by Shuping et al, which teaches a method including the limitation wherein **the baseline web site is for primary**

Art Unit: 2153

display on a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple-user-viewable displays for mounting on walls of a room, the baseline web site and the mirror web site locally accessible within the room (see spec, sec. 7, lines 29-39, which implies this limitation because the wall within the room displays the past web page of a web site and the current page/version of a web site).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Edelman to include the use of a limitation wherein the baseline web site is for primary display on a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple-user-viewable displays for mounting on walls of a room, the baseline web site and the mirror web site locally accessible within the room in order to improve upon updating pages on a web site, as implied in sec. 2, lines 10-34 of Shuping et al.

In reference to claim 14, Edelman teach a method including the limitations for at least one server to host a baseline web site and a mirror web site, each server communicatively coupled to a network (see column 4, lines 7-19, where web site hosting facility offers the live web site (i.e. baseline) and tools to edit the web site for future release date (i.e. editing a mirror copy of the website) and column 6, lines 8-12, describing how the facility provides a content change receiving tool/interface for the current release that is based on the state of the live web site).

the baseline web site being primarily and ordinarily read-only, the mirror web site being initially a mirror copy of the baseline web site (see column 4, lines 10-11), and remotely accessible outside of the room by the authorized users through the network via the client communication devices communicatively coupled to the network (see column 4, lines 7-11); and

a web application running on the mirror web site to accept user-made changes to the mirror copy of the baseline web site in a cut-and-paste manner (see column 5, lines 17-40, where tools (e.g. image manager tool, browser builder tool, site merchandiser tool) are provided to allow a user make changes to the mirror copy that will be published to the live (i.e. baseline) web site at a specific date and time), one or more administrators being able to authorize the user-made changes such that the baseline web site is periodically updated from the mirror web site (see column 6, lines 14-17, where the administrator can preview future releases and approve them).

Art Unit: 2153

Edelman teach all the limitations as disclosed above except for providing a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple user-viewable displays for mounting on the walls of the room and wherein the web application is receptive to additional and replacement data serving as the user-made changes to the mirror copy of the baseline web site in the cut-and-paste manner from other applications running on the client communication devices.

The general concepts of a limitation providing a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple user-viewable displays for mounting on the walls of the room and wherein the web application is receptive to additional and replacement data serving as the user-made changes to the mirror copy of the baseline web site in the cut-and-paste manner from other applications running on the client communication devices are well known in the art as illustrated by Shuping et al, which teaches a system including the limitation providing a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple user-viewable displays for mounting on the walls of the room (see spec, sec. 2, lines 44-58, which implies this limitation because a room is implemented for a number of panel displays to display the updated web info) and replacement data serving as the user-made changes to the mirror copy of the baseline web site in the cut-and-paste manner from other applications running on the client communication devices (see spec, sec. 9, lines 50-59, which implies this limitation because applications are imbedded within the invention for copying and pasting of the updated data to the web pages on the updated web site).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Edelman to include the use of a limitation for providing a plurality of wall-mountable, multiple user-viewable displays for mounting on the walls of the room and wherein the web application is receptive to additional and replacement data serving as the user-made changes to the mirror copy of the baseline web site in the cut-and-paste manner from other applications running on the client communication devices in order to improve upon updating pages on a web site, as implied in sec. 2, lines 10-34 of Shuping et al.

With respect to claim 16, Edelman further discloses at least some of the client communication devices locatable within the room (see column 6, line 59 – column 7, line 2, where PDA is located in the same room).

Art Unit: 2153

With respect to claim 18, although the system of Edelman teaches many of the claimed features (see discussion above), Edelman does not expressly disclose **viewing the baseline web site on one of a plurality of multiple user-viewable displays mounted on walls of a room**.

The general concept for **viewing the baseline web site on one of a plurality of multiple user-viewable displays mounted on walls of a room** is well known in the art as illustrated by Shuping et al, which teaches a method for **viewing the baseline web site on one of a plurality of multiple user-viewable displays mounted on walls of a room** (see spec, sec. 4, lines 25-39, and sec. 6, lines 45-52, which implies this limitation because the communications devices are interfaced with the panels located in the display room through the communications network).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Edelman to include the use of a limitation for **viewing the baseline web site on one of a plurality of multiple user-viewable displays mounted on walls of a room** in order to improve upon updating pages on a web site, as implied in sec. 2, lines 10-34 of Shuping et al.

13. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Edelman in view of Shuping et al. with respect to claim 14 above and Gordon et al (Pub # 2004/0064352).

In reference to claim 15, Edelman in view of Shuping do not expressly disclose scanning devices locatable within the room to generate scanned-in information from non-electronic hardcopy media as the additional and replacement data.

The general concept of a limitation for providing one or more scanning devices locatable within the room to generate scanned-in information from non-electronic hardcopy media as the additional and replacement data is well known in the art as illustrated by Gordon et al, which teaches a method including the limitation for providing one or more scanning devices locatable within the room to generate scanned-in information from non-electronic hardcopy media as the additional and replacement data (see e.g. [0018], which implies this limitation because a scanner is used to input score information into the database containing all league information, which may be published on a web site, as shown in sec. [0026]).

Art Unit: 2153

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Edelman to include the use of a limitation for providing one or more scanning devices locatable within the room to generate scanned-in information from non-electronic hardcopy media as the additional and replacement data in order to maximize efficiency of updating content to be displayed on a published web site, as implied in sec. [0015] of Gordon et al.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip J. Chea whose telephone number is 571-272-3951. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00 (1st Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.


Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Philip J Chea
Examiner

Art Unit: 2153

Art Unit 2153

PJC 8/20/07


GLENON B. BURGESS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
8/20/07